



1-1-2016

The Effect of Internally versus Externally Focused Balance Training on Mindfulness

Kathleen J. Pantano
Cleveland State University

Jeremy E. C. Genovese
Cleveland State University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.ciis.edu/ijts-transpersonalstudies>

 Part of the [Philosophy Commons](#), [Psychology Commons](#), and the [Religion Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Pantano, K. J., & Genovese, J. E. (2016). Pantano, K. J., & Genovese, J. E. C. (2016). The effect of internally versus externally focused balance training on mindfulness. *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies*, 35 (1). Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.ciis.edu/ijts-transpersonalstudies/vol35/iss1/4>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License](#).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals and Newsletters at Digital Commons @ CIIS. It has been accepted for inclusion in *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies* by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ CIIS. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@ciis.edu.

The Effect of Internally versus Externally Focused Balance Training on Mindfulness

Kathleen J. Pantano & Jeremy E. C. Genovese

Cleveland State University
Cleveland, OH, USA

The purpose of this study was to determine how alternative types of instruction provided during a 6-week balance exercise program affect mindfulness. A sample of 63 college students was recruited for the study. Group 1 ($N = 33$) received a 6-week balance exercise intervention instructed with an internal focus of attention (IFA). Group 2 ($N = 30$) received the same intervention, but exercises were instructed with an external focus of attention (EFA). Mindfulness was measured at baseline and at 6 weeks using the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI). Baseline FMI scores between groups were similar (Group 1: 41.6; Group 2: 41.3), however, the difference in gain scores at six weeks was statistically significantly different (Group 1: 17.5; Group 2: 1.7; $z = -4.74$, $p = .0000$, $r = .55$). The results suggest that internally focused instruction was more effective at increasing mindfulness than externally focused instruction during a 6-week balance exercise program and might be an alternative to meditation as a means of improving mindfulness.

Keywords: *mindfulness, balance, focus of attention, Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory*

“I have heard that someone asked Sasaki Joshu Roshi, ‘What of Zen practice is necessary to preserve?’ He replied ‘Posture and the breathing.’ I think I might say simply, ‘Posture’” (Aitken, 1982, p. 14). Several lines of evidence suggest that there might be a relationship between mindfulness and postural balance. In 1977, Ikegami published research on the effect of Zen meditation on posture. Ikegami designed a circular platform resting at three equidistant scales. By comparing the distribution of weight across the three scales it was possible to locate the center of gravity of someone sitting on the platform. The study found that Zen priests exhibited much greater stability when compared to lay persons; that is, they had smaller fluctuations in their center of gravity (Ikegami, 1977).

Mindfulness is a central teaching of Buddhism and Zen priests practice a form of mindfulness meditation (Hanh, 1975). Mindfulness can be defined as “keeping one’s consciousness alive to the present moment” (Hahn, 1975, p. 11) or “clear and single-minded awareness of what actually happens *to* us and *in* us, at the successive moments of perception” (Nyanaponika, 1970, p. 30). Mindfulness can be thought of as a state, a “special mode

of perception” (Gunaratana, 2011, p. 27) induced by meditation practice or a trait that individuals carry across situations. Indeed, the goal of meditation practice is to cultivate mindfulness at all times (Hahn, 1975).

A growing body of evidence suggests that mindfulness may be linked to a number of relevant balance outcomes, including improved attention visual-spatial processing, and reduced fatigue (Zeidan, Johnson, Diamond, David, & Goolkasian, 2010). Balance and postural control require the complex integration of afferent information in the central nervous system received from the somatosensory, visual, and vestibular systems, including mechanoreceptors located in ligaments, joint capsules, and musculotendinous tissues (Horak, Nashner, & Diener, 1990). Under normal conditions, the brain selectively processes sensory information and makes adjustments provided by feedback, resulting in desired coordinated musculoskeletal responses. Balance and postural control are essential for performing activities of daily living and sports activities. Although it is known that corrective responses to errors in balance can depend on one’s muscle strength, flexibility, and endurance, complete understanding of

the cognitive processes involved in balance is limited and requires further investigation. Some cognitive tasks have been shown to improve balance, while others are thought to interfere with mastering balance tasks (Shumway-Cook, Woollacott, Kerns, & Baldwin, 1997; Swan, Otani, Loubert, Sheffert, & Dunbar, 2004). In addition, *difficult balance tasks* have been shown to interfere with cognitive performance (Andersson, Hagman, Talianzaheh, Svedberg, & Larsen, 2002). Interestingly, a study by Fiori, David, and Aglioti (2014) found that yoga practitioners favor internal, vestibular, and proprioceptive information over exteroceptive information during training. The finding suggests that there may be ties between cognitive-sensory systems that support balance and yoga training.

There is at least one study in the literature that found that mindfulness training improves balance (Kee, Chatzisarantis, Kong, Chow, & Chen, 2012). Our study asks the opposite question: *can balance training affect mindfulness?* There are strategies for inducing mindfulness that do not involve meditation. For example, Kabat-Zinn (1990) developed an exercise that involves mindfully eating single raisins and Kee and colleagues (2012) induced mindfulness by having participants focus on a task that involved running the hand back and forth in a basin of water.

Other movement interventions such as, yoga, tai chi chuan, pilates, and GYROKINESIS® have been shown to increase scores on a mindfulness inventories (Caldwell et al., 2010; Carmody & Baer, 2007). Hanh (2008) created a series of ten exercises called “mindful movements” that were designed to increase mindfulness. Balance is an important component of all these approaches. This study differs, however, in that it focuses on two interventions solely designed to improve balance in a young collegiate population.

Static and dynamic balance requires coordinating motor action with perception and is affected by multiple senses including vision, proprioception, vestibular function and cognitive ability (Shumway-Cook & Woollacott, 1995). The motor learning literature suggests that acquiring motor skills, including balance, may be affected by the type of attentional focus that is received when they are learning and performing motor tasks (Wulf, 2013; Wulf, McConnel, Gartner & Schwarz, 2002; Wulf, Mercer, McNevin & Guadagnoli, 2004; Park, Yi, Shin & Ryu, 2015). It is widely purported that focusing attention on the

environment to produce a motor action, known as an *external focus of attention* (EFA), rather the position of the body in space or body movement, known as an *internal focus of attention* (IFA), leads to more effective learning of the task because it facilitates more automatic information processing and less need for conscious control. (Jackson & Holmes, 2011; McNevin, Wulf, & Carlson, 2000; Peh, Jia, & Davids, 2011). The question has been raised, however, that the most beneficial type of attentional focus to use during motor learning may actually depend on the many variables related to the task itself, as well as to aspects related to the learner. Different characteristics of a performed task, such as difficulty of the task, the developmental stage the learner is in, the age of the learner, and method of instruction, training, and methods used to assess outcomes may affect the desired outcome.

For example, Perkins-Ceccato, Passmore, & Lee (2003) found that the benefits of attentional focus actually depend on a person’s skill level. The authors found that novice golfers performed better when they were given internally focused instructions, while expert players performed better with externally focused instructions. In a report that studied frail elderly adults, attentional focus did not have an effect on learning balance skills (de Bruin, 2009). Other authors have argued that external focus of attention instruction may be appropriate when goal-directed movement is desired (i.e., a golf swing or dart throwing) but may be less effective for movements or exercises that do not require a target (Kakebeeke, Knols, & de Bruin, 2013). In this case, the learner’s focus is more on physical form than achieving a goal or end result of movement. The belief that an external focus of attention is a preferred method of motor learning has not been sufficiently substantiated by evidence and transference of the theory to different patient populations, as well as to healthy individuals, may not apply (Kakebeeke et al., 2013). In addition, many studies’ results are based on one-time instruction of a task rather than on-going instruction which may focus more on task performance than motor learning and can result in different outcomes.

To our knowledge there is no previous published work that has compared an externally vs. internally focused instruction during a 6-week balance training program and measured mindfulness pre- and post-balance training in a population of young collegiate athletes.

Study

The purpose of this study was to determine how alternative types of instruction provided during 6-week balance exercise program affect mindfulness in a population of young, active, and healthy adults.

Method

A convenience sample of 63 physically active college students, having no history of musculoskeletal injury in the last year and no musculoskeletal surgeries in the last 5 years, was recruited for the study. The study was approved by a University Institutional Review Board and written informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from each subject. Group 1 ($N = 33$, mean age 24.8 ± 3.2 , 24 female, 9 male) underwent a balance exercise intervention that consisted of eight progressive static and dynamic balance exercises instructed with an internal focus of attention (IFA). Examples of internal focus of attention cues included “keep your feet balanced and level on the rocker board,” and “bend your knees and lower your body into a squat by placing your body weight back on your heels.”

Group 2 ($N = 30$, mean age 24 ± 3.8 , 17 female, 13 male) received the same balance exercise intervention, except verbal instruction incorporated the use of an external focus of attention (EFA). Cues for the external focus of attention group consisted of: “keep the markers on the rocker board level,” and “touch the back of your shorts/pants to the chair behind you (squat).” Subjects were required to attend the exercise sessions two times a week for 6 weeks and 100% compliance was met in this regard. Six weeks was chosen as the length of time for the intervention because motor learning and coordination during this time is due to neural adaptation rather than changes in muscle strength and endurance, which would be a confounding variable for the balance tasks chosen (Shumway-Cook & Woollacott, 1995). The sessions consisted of eight exercise stations in which the subjects randomly rotated around until they completed all stations. Subjects remained at each station for a 1 ½ minute period for the first 3 weeks and time was increased to 2 minutes per station for the subsequent 3 weeks. In addition, the level of difficulty of each exercise was increased every 2 weeks by adding more weight, moving from double-leg to single-leg support, or increasing time for holding the position on one leg. Each exercise station was monitored by an exercise facilitator who was trained in providing the appropriate type of verbal cueing for both the IFA and EFA groups.

Effect of Balance Training on Mindfulness

Other than the type of instruction received during the exercise sessions (EFA vs. IFA), the balance programs were delivered in a standardized manner for all participants. The nine exercise facilitators who assisted in providing cues at each exercise station and during each exercise session were trained by the principal investigator (PI) prior to the start of the study so that the facilitators would deliver specific and uniform verbal instructions throughout the entire study. The PI is a physical therapist with over 30 years of clinical and teaching experience. Exercise facilitators additionally received index cards which contained the typewritten instructions for each task that was appropriate for the experimental group being trained to ensure consistency and uniformity of instruction during the 6-week intervention. All study participants were blinded to the study’s purpose, the assignment of their experimental group and to any expectations or anticipated outcomes of the study.

The exercises included in the balance training program were specifically chosen to increase postural control (static balance) and dynamic balance by including components of balance such as sensory information, joint range of motion, core strength and lower extremity muscular strength (Palmieri et al., 2002). The two static balance exercises included a Warrior 3 pose (alternating legs), and pelvic bridging with a static hold on a BOSU ball). The dynamic exercises included balancing on a rocker-board, first with both feet, then in a single-leg position, double-leg to single-leg balance on a BOSU ball in kneeling while catching a ball, single-leg hopping in a clockwise and counterclockwise direction, a squat-curtsey dynamic movement, and single-leg abduction/adduction exercise with increasing weights, and a Warrior 3 position with the body repeatedly bobbing from this position to the floor and back.

The assessment of balance pre and post-training consisted of the following outcome measures: the Balance Error Scoring System (BESS test), described by Riemann et al. (1999) and the Y-balance test, a modified version of the Star-Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) described by Plisky et al. (2009). The outcome measures collected during this study provided comparative data with regard to quantitative parameters of balance between the two subject groups and therefore will be published in a separate manuscript.

Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory

Mindfulness was measured using the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI; Walach, Buchheld,

Buttenmuller, & Schmidt, 2006). The FMI is a 14-item instrument that has demonstrated good psychometric properties in previous research. Items include “I am open to the experience of the present moment” and “I watch my feelings without getting lost in them.” Items are rated “rarely,” “occasionally,” “fairly often,” or “almost always.” The FMI was chosen because it was easy to administer and has been used in a number of studies to measure the effect of experimental procedures on mindfulness (Zeidan et al., 2011; Zeidan, Martucci, Kraft, Mchaffie, & Coghill, 2013). For example, Bussing et al. (2012), administered the FMI as a pretest, at 3 months, and at 6 months to assess changes in mindfulness as a consequence of participation in a yoga training program.

There has been controversy concerning the factor structure of the FMI (Strohle, 2006). A factor analysis by Kohls, Sauer, and Walach (2009) proposed a reduced two-factor structure with four items loading on a presence factor and four items loading on an acceptance factor. The authors found, however, “that both the one-dimensional and the two-factor solution of the FMI-14 show acceptable, but suboptimal fit indices” (p. 229) and that for most practical purposes, mindfulness, as measured by the FMI, could be treated as a single one-dimensional construct. Based on this conclusion, we chose to employ this approach with the data from our study.

Statistical Analysis

A gain score analysis procedure was used for statistical analysis (Campbell, Stanley, & Gage, 1963; Knapp & Schafer, 2009). For each group, the FMI score at baseline was subtracted from the FMI score at six weeks. The resulting gain scores were then compared using a nonparametric two-tailed Wilcoxon test. A non-parametric approach was used because it relies on fewer assumptions and is more appropriate when working with small sample sizes (Leech & Onwuegbuzie, 2002). The statistical analysis was conducted in R (R Core Team, 2013) and Simstat (Peladeau, 1996).

Results

All administrations of the FMI had acceptable levels of reliability, with alpha levels ranging from .66 to .81. Descriptive statistics are shown in Table 1. Baseline FMI scores between Group 1 (IFA) and Group 2 (EFA) were similar (41.6; 41.3). However, the difference in gain scores at six weeks was statistically significantly different. The average gain for the Group 1 (IFA) was 17.5, while the average gain for Group 2 (EFA) was 1.7 ($z = -4.74$,

$p = .0000$, $r = .55$). A limitation of this study was the lack of a no-intervention control group, however, given the magnitude and nature of the effect, the results found here, while exploratory, are important.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine whether the type of verbal instruction provided during a balance exercise program affects mindfulness in healthy college-aged students. Being mindful is thought to promote an enhanced state of well-being as it describes a quality of consciousness characterized by heightened clarity, and increasing one’s awareness to the present moment (Brown & Ryan, 2003). Increased mindfulness is purported to improve function, such as walking speed, visual-spatial processing, intention-behavior relationships (i.e. intention with physical activity), positive emotional states, and may reduce fatigue, stress, and mood disturbances in certain populations (Zeidan et al., 2010; Djikic, Langer, & Stapleton, 2008; Chatzisarantis & Hagger, 2007; Brown & Ryan, 2003). Taking into account the benefits that can be derived from being mindful, it is important to understand the most effective measures that can be used to improve mindfulness.

This study found that in a population of healthy college-age students, balance training administered over a six-week period generally improved mindfulness. Improvement in mindfulness, however, was most evident when subjects were instructed to direct their attention to their body position and movement during training (IFA), rather than focusing on the goal or outcome of the movement (EFA). This finding raises an interesting

Table 1. Description of FMI scores for the 2 groups of subjects at baseline and after completion of a 6-week balance exercise program.

| Time | Group | Median FMI** Scores | Mean FMI** Scores | SD |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| Baseline | Internal FOA* | 41.0 | 41.6 | 4.4 |
| | External FOA* | 42.0 | 41.3 | 5.8 |
| Six Weeks | Internal FOA* | 58.0 | 59.1 | 11.3 |
| | External FOA* | 42.0 | 43.0 | 6.2 |

*Focus of Attention

**Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (Walach et al., 2006)

point as it suggests that IFA training may be superior to EFA training when used to increase mindfulness. Perhaps this finding is not so surprising because IFA training focuses on present moment awareness of the

body and body movements, which would be more likely to facilitate mindfulness. Extant theorists in the field of motor learning suggest, however, that IFA instruction may not be as beneficial when learning motor skills or to improve movement efficiency. Current theory contends that IFA instruction involves conscious control of one's movement which constrains automatic control processes (Wulf, McNevin, & Shea, 2001), whereas EFA instruction allows the body to regulate relevant body movements automatically by focusing on the effects or outcomes of movement on the environment (Wulf et al., 2002). The findings of this research study support the fact that an external focus of attention strategy may be not as effective an internal focus of attention strategy for improving mindfulness over time because it requires the projection of a future goal and is less concerned with sustaining present moment focus. Our results also suggest that current theory about EFA instruction yielding better outcomes may not always hold true across all populations and conditions, to which more recent evidence has alluded (Kakebeeke et al., 2013). Although it is not known whether our results generalize to populations other than the one currently studied, there is a strong indication that a 6-week balance training program that incorporates IFA instruction is more effective method in improving mindfulness than balance training that uses EFA instruction.

Mehling and colleagues (2011) proposed that the construct *body awareness* might be the common element in such mind-body interventions as yoga, Tai Chi, and mindfulness based therapies. Body awareness is defined "an attentional focus on internal body sensation" (p. 1) and "the core-awareness of sensation from inside the body excluding exteroceptive channels" (p. 2). Other researchers have shown that mindfulness-based stress reduction changes the representation of interoceptive attention in the cortex. (Farb, Segal, & Anderson, 2013; Lazar et al., 2005).

Conclusions

The results of this investigation suggest that IFA balance training may be an effective technique for improving mindfulness. Increasing mindfulness via balance training may require more body awareness and conscious control and this may explain why IFA instruction was more effective than EFA instruction. Replication and more research are needed to elucidate the relationship between balance, mindfulness,

motor learning, interoception and exteroception, and attentional focus strategies.

References

- Aitken, R. (1982). *Taking the path of Zen*. San Francisco, CA: North Point Press.
- Andersson, G., Hagman, J., Talianzadeh, R., Svedberg, A., & Larsen, H. C. (2002). Effect of cognitive load on postural control. *Brain Research Bulletin*, *58*(1), 135–139. doi:10.1016/s0361-9230(02)00770-0
- Brown, K. W., & Ryan, R. M. (2003). The benefits of being present: Mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *84*(4), 822–848. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.84.4.822
- Bussing, A., Hedstuck, A., Khalsa, S., Osterman, T., & Heusser, P. (2012). Development of specific aspects of spirituality during a 6-month intensive yoga practice. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*, *4*, 79. doi:10.1016/j.eujim.2012.07.657
- Caldwell, K., Harrison, M., Adams, M., Quin, R. H., & Greeson, J. (2010). Developing mindfulness in college students through movement-based courses: Effects on self-regulatory self-efficacy, mood, stress, and sleep quality. *Journal of American College Health*, *58*(5), 433–442. doi:10.1080/07448480903540481
- Campbell, D. T., Stanley, J. C. & Gage, N. L. (1963). Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research. In N. I. Gage (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (pp. 171–246). Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Carmody, J., & Baer, R. A. (2007). Relationships between mindfulness practice and levels of mindfulness, medical and psychological symptoms and well-being in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, *31*(1), 23–33. doi:10.1007/s10865-007-9130-7
- Chatzisarantis, N. L., & Hagger, M. S. (2007). Mindfulness and the intention-behavior relationship within the theory of planned behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *33*(5), 663–676. doi:10.1177/0146167206297401
- De Bruin, E. D., Swanenburg, J., Betschon, E., & Murer, K. (2009). A randomised controlled trial investigating motor skill training as a function of attentional focus in old age. *BMC Geriatrics*, *9*(1), 15. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-9-15

- Djikic, M., Langer, E. J., & Stapleton, S. F. (2008). Reducing stereotyping through mindfulness: Effects on automatic stereotype-activated behaviors. *Journal of Adult Development, 15*(2), 106–111. doi:10.1007/s10804-008-9040-0
- Farb, N. S., Segal, Z. V., & Anderson, A. K. (2013). Mindfulness meditation training alters cortical representations of interoceptive attention. *Social Cognitive & Affective Neuroscience, 8*(1), 15–26. doi:10.1093/scan/nss066.
- Fiori, F., David, N., & Aglioti, S. M. (2014). Processing of proprioceptive and vestibular body signals and self-transcendence in ashtanga yoga practitioners. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 8*, 734. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00734
- Gunaratana, B. H. (2011) *Mindfulness in plain English*. Boston, MA: Wisdom.
- Hanh, N. (1975). *The miracle of mindfulness: An introduction to the practice of meditation*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Hanh, N. (2008). *Mindful movements: Ten exercises for well-being*. Berkeley, CA: Parallax Press.
- Horak, F., Nashner, L., & Diener, H. (1990). Postural strategies associated with somatosensory and vestibular loss. *Experimental Brain Research, 82*(1). doi:10.1007/bf00230848
- Ikegami, R. (1977). Psychological studies of Zen posture. In Y. Akishige (Ed). *Psychological studies in Zen*, Vol. 1. (pp. 105–133). Tokyo, Japan: Komazaw University.
- Jackson, B. H., & Holmes, A. M. (2011). The effects of focus of attention and task objective consistency on learning a balancing task. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 82*(3), 574–579. doi:10.1080/02701367.2011.10599791
- Kabat-Zinn, J. (1990). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness*. New York, NY: Delacorte Press.
- Kee, Y. H., Chatzisarantis, N., Kong, P. W., Chow, J. Y., & Chen, L. H. (2012). Mindfulness, movement control, and attentional focus strategies: Effects of mindfulness on a postural balance task. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 34*(5), 561–579.
- Takebeke, T., Knols, R., & Bruin, E. D. (2013). Should rehabilitation specialists use external focus instructions when motor learning is fostered? A systematic review. *Sports, 1*(2), 37–54. doi:10.3390/sports1020037
- Kohls, N., Sauer, S., Walach, H. (2009). Facets of mindfulness—results of an online study investigating the Freiburg mindfulness inventory. *Personality and Individual Differences, 46*(2), 224–230. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2008.10.009
- Knapp, T. R., & Schafer W. D., (2009). From gain score t to ANCOVA F (and vice versa) *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation, 14*(6), 1–7.
- Lazar, S. W., Kerr, C. E., Wasserman, R. H., Gray, J. R., Greve, D. N., Treadway, M. T., ... & Fischl, B. (2005). Meditation experience is associated with increased cortical thickness. *NeuroReport, 16*(17), 1893–1897. doi: 10.1097/01.wnr.0000186598.66243.
- Leech N. L., & Onwuegbuzie A. J. (2002). A call for greater use of nonparametric statistics. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association. Retrieved from <http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED471346>
- McNevin, N.H., Wulf, G., & Carlson, C. (2000). Effects of attentional focus, self-control, and dyad training on motor learning: Implications for physical rehabilitation. *Physical Therapy, 80*(4), 373–385.
- Mehling, W. E., Wrubel, J., Daubenmier, J. J., Price, C. J., Kerr, C. E., Silow, T., Stewart, A. L. (2011). Body awareness: A phenomenological inquiry into the common ground of mind-body therapies. *Philos Ethics Humanit Med Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine, 6*(1), 1–12. doi:10.1186/1747-5341-6-6
- Nyanaponika T., (1970). *The heart of Buddhist meditation (Satipattana): A handbook of mental training based on the Buddha's way of mindfulness*. New York NY: Samuel Weiser.
- Palmieri, R. M., Ingersoll, C. D., Stone, M. B., & Krause, B. A. (2002). Center-of-pressure parameters used in the assessment of postural control. *Journal Sports and Rehabilitation, 11*, 51–66. doi:10.1007/s00586-010-1543-2.
- Park, S. H., Yi, C. W., Shin, J. Y., & Ryu, Y. U. (2015). Effects of external focus of attention on balance: a short review. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science, 27*(12):3929–3931. doi:10.1589/jpts.27.3929
- Peh, S. Y., Jia, Y. C., & Davids, K. (2011). Focus of attention and its impact on movement behavior. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 14*(1), 70–78. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2010.07.002
- Peladeau, N. (1996). *Simstat for Windows*. Montreal, Canada: Provalis Research.

- Perkins-Ceccato, N., Passmore, S. R., & Lee, T. D. (2003). Effects of focus of attention depend on golfers' skill. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *21*(8), 593–600. doi:10.1080/0264041031000101980
- Plisky, P. J., Gorman, P. P., Butler, R. J., Kiesel, K. B., Underwood F. B., & Elkins B. (2009) The reliability of an instrumented device for measuring components of the star excursion balance test. *North American Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, *4*, 92–99.
- R Core Team (2013). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, URL <http://www.R-project.org>
- Riemann, B. L., Guskiewicz, K. M., & Shields, E. W. (1999). Relationship between clinical and forceplate measures of postural stability. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, *8*, 71–82.
- Shumway-Cook, A. & Woollacott, M. H. (1995). *Motor control: Theory and practical applications*. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Williams.
- Shumway-Cook, A., Woollacott, M., Kerns, K. A., & Baldwin, M. (1997). The effects of two types of cognitive tasks on postural stability in older adults with and without a history of falls. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, *52A*(4), M232–M240. doi:10.1093/gerona/52a.4.m232
- Strohle, G. (2006). *Empirische Erfassung der Achtsamkeit: ein Vergleich der deutschsprachigen Achtsamkeitsskale*. [Unpublished Master's thesis] Jena, Germany: Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena.
- Swan, L., Otani, H., Loubert, P. V., Sheffert, S. M. & Dunbar, G. L. (2004). Improving balance by performing a secondary cognitive task. *British Journal of Psychology*, *95*, 31–40. doi:10.1348/000712604322779442
- Walach H., Buchheld N., Buttenmuller V., & Schmidt S. (2006). Measuring mindfulness—the Freiburg mindfulness inventory. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *40*, 1543–1555. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2005.11.025
- Wulf, G. (2013) Attentional focus and motor learning: a review of 15 years. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, *6*(1), 77–104. doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2012.723728
- Wulf, G., Mercer, J., McNevin, N., & Guadagnoli, M.A. (2004). Reciprocal influences of attentional focus on postural and suprapostural task performance. *Journal of Motor Behavior*. *36*, 189–199.
- Wulf, G., McConnel, N., Gartner, M., & Schwarz, A. (2002). Enhancing the learning of sports skills through external-focus feedback. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, *34*(2), 171–182. doi: 10.1080/00222890209601939
- Wulf, G., McNevin, N., & Shea, H. (2001). The automaticity of complex motor skill learning as a function of attentional focus. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology A*, *54*(4), 1143–1154. doi:10.1080/02724980143000118
- Zeidan, F., Johnson, S. K., Diamond, B. J., David, Z., & Goolkasian, P. (2010). Mindfulness meditation improves cognition: Evidence of brief mental training. *Consciousness and Cognition*, *19*(2), 597–605. doi:10.1016/j.concog.2010.03.014
- Zeidan, F., Martucci, K. T., Kraft, R. A., Gordon, N. S., Mchaffie, J. G., & Coghill, R. C. (2011). Brain mechanisms supporting the modulation of pain by mindfulness meditation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *31*(14), 5540–5548. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.5791-10.2011
- Zeidan, F., Martucci, K. T., Kraft, R. A., Mchaffie, J. G., & Coghill, R. C. (2013). Neural correlates of mindfulness meditation-related anxiety relief. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *9*(6), 751–759. doi:10.1093/scan/nst041

About the Authors

Kathleen J. Pantano, PhD, is an Associate Professor at Cleveland State University in the Doctor of Physical Therapy Program in the School of Health Sciences. She is a licensed physical therapist and has a Bachelor's of Science Degree in Physical Therapy from Upstate Medical University in Syracuse, NY with and an Advanced Master's Degree of Science in Orthopedic Physical Therapy at the University of Pittsburgh. She earned a PhD in Exercise Science, with a concentration in Biomechanics, at the University of Buffalo. Dr. Pantano has more than 25 years of clinical experience and more than 13 years of teaching experience in Musculoskeletal Examination, Manual Therapy, Functional Anatomy and Scientific Inquiry. Her research includes motor learning related to instructional focus of attention, anterior cruciate ligament injury and injury prevention. Dr. Pantano has many published articles and has presented her research at many local, national and international venues.

Jeremy E. C. Genovese, PhD, is an Associate Professor of Human Development and Educational Psychology in the Department of Curriculum and Foundations at Cleveland State University. He earned his PhD from Cleveland State University in 2000. He has a master's degree in Biological Anthropology from Kent State University and a bachelor's degree in Anthropology from Cleveland State University. In addition, he is certified in secondary Mathematics. His research interests include behavioral learning theory, memory, memory improvement, psychometrics, behavior genetics, individual differences, evolutionary psychology, enhancing cognitive performance, yoga, and meditation. His research on the Flynn Effect was recently cited in both James Flynn's book *Are We Getting Smarter? Rising IQ in the Twenty-First Century*, and Steven Pinker's book *The Better Angels of Our Nature*. He lives in Beachwood, Ohio with his wife Teresa M. Kammerman, MD.

About the Journal

The *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies* is a peer-reviewed academic journal in print since 1981. It is sponsored by the California Institute of Integral Studies, published by Floraglates Foundation, and serves as the official publication of the International Transpersonal Association. The journal is available online at www.transpersonalstudies.org, and in print through www.lulu.com (search for IJTS).