



1-1-2007

Applying Quantitative Research Methods in Transpersonal Psychology: Introduction to Special Topic Section

Harris L. Friedman
University of Florida

Glenn Hartelius
California Institute of Integral Studies

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.ciis.edu/ijts-transpersonalstudies>



Part of the [Philosophy Commons](#), [Psychology Commons](#), and the [Religion Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Friedman, H. L., & Hartelius, G. (2007). Friedman, H. L., & Hartelius, G. (2007). Applying quantitative research methods in transpersonal psychology: Introduction to special topic section. *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies*, 26, 56-57. *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies*, 26 (1). <http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24972/ijts.2007.26.1.56>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License](#).

This Special Topic Introduction is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals and Newsletters at Digital Commons @ CIIS. It has been accepted for inclusion in *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies* by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ CIIS. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@ciis.edu.

Applying Quantitative Research Methods in Transpersonal Psychology: Introduction to Special Topic Section

Harris Friedman

University of Florida
Gainesville, FL, USA

Glenn Hartelius

California Institute of Integral Studies
San Francisco, CA, USA

Compared to other fields of psychology, transpersonal psychology has produced relatively little quantitative empirical research (Friedman, 2002). Friedman has argued that this is at least partially due to transpersonal theorists “frequently portraying science as inadequate for and irrelevant to addressing transpersonal concerns” (Friedman, 2005, p. 3), while Friedman with MacDonald (e.g., Friedman & MacDonald, 2002; MacDonald & Friedman, 2002) emphasized the importance of including quantitative approaches in studying transpersonal psychology, especially in the value of using psychometric approaches to build cumulative transpersonal knowledge. Taylor (1992), on the other hand, suggested that transpersonal psychology’s emphasis on qualitative approaches counterbalances the prevailing overemphasis on quantitative approaches within other areas of psychology, while Braud and Anderson (1998) suggested that “in-depth [i.e., qualitative and other innovative] approaches tend to better suit the idiographic and personal nature of transpersonal experiences” (1998, p. x).

As the *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies*’ name signifies, this journal focuses on transpersonal studies, a much broader term that is inclusive of many transpersonal disciplines in addition to transpersonal psychology. We believe that transpersonal psychology, as part of transpersonal studies, can only move beyond its current isolated and limited position within contemporary psychology by actively using recognized scientific methods, both quantitative and qualitative as well as others. In this regard, we agree that “a redirection back to science

would both allow transpersonal psychology to gain acceptance as a legitimate enterprise within the larger community of scientific efforts, including the discipline of psychology, and allow for its responsible application toward human betterment” (Friedman, 2002, p. 185). However, we think that scientific approaches to transpersonal psychology should not be limited to any singular method, including qualitative or quantitative, and we also think this applies to other areas of transpersonal studies. Our position supporting the inclusion of quantitative methods within transpersonal psychology in no way detracts from our view of the equal importance of including qualitative and other innovative approaches, such as mixed-methods, in scientific inquiry in this area, nor should it abnegate the equal importance of using a full-range of research approaches to other areas of transpersonal studies, such as artistic and poetic explorations.

But, to begin to rectify the lack of many quantitative empirical papers in transpersonal psychology, we are very pleased to publish two very strong quantitative research studies, which together comprise this issue’s special topics section. Teresa L. DeCicco and Mirella L. Stroink’s “A Third Model of Self-Conceptualization: The Metapersonal Self” extends previous work on self-construal theory in a series of well-constructed and well-conducted psychometric studies of what they call “metapersonal self-construal.” Metapersonal self-construal is conceptualized as a transpersonal construct differing from both independent and interdependent self-construals, widely held mainstream psychological views that ignore a transpersonal perspective.

Though similar approaches have been pursued by others (e.g., Friedman, 1983; Pappas & Friedman, 2007), their research provides additional evidence that conventional models are incomplete without such transpersonal perspectives. Sharon G. Solloway and William P. Fisher, Jr.'s "Mindfulness in Measurement: Reconsidering the Measurable in Mindfulness Practice" also employs a psychometric approach, based on both qualitative and quantitative data, to measure the effects of mindfulness practice. Their data also provides convincing evidence of the worth of these transpersonal practices, as well as the value and applicability of quantitative approaches within transpersonal psychology.

References

- Braud, W. & Anderson, R. (Eds.). (1998). *Transpersonal research methods for the social sciences: Honoring human experience*. London: Sage.
- Friedman, H. (1983). The Self-Expansiveness Level Form: A conceptualization and measurement of a transpersonal construct. *Journal of Transpersonal Psychology, 15*(1), 37-50.
- Friedman, H. (2002). Transpersonal psychology as a scientific field. *International Journal of Transpersonal Studies, 21*, 175-187.
- Friedman, H. (2005). Problems of romanticism in transpersonal psychology: A case study of Aikido. *The Humanistic Psychologist, 33*(1), 3-24.
- Friedman, H. & MacDonald, D. (2002). Using transpersonal tests in humanistic psychological assessment. *The Humanistic Psychologist, 30*(3), 222-236.
- MacDonald, D., and Friedman, H. (2002). Assessment of humanistic, transpersonal, and spiritual constructs: State of the science. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 42*(4), 102-125.
- Pappas, J., & Friedman, H. (2007). The construct of self-expansiveness and the validity of the Transpersonal Scale of the Self-Expansiveness Level Form. *The Humanistic Psychologist, 35*(4), 323-347.
- Taylor, E. (1992). Transpersonal psychology: Its several virtues. *The Humanistic Psychologist, 20*(2-3), 285-300.